Modeling of Memristors Under Sinusoidal Excitations with Various Frequencies

Bartłomiej Garda and Zbigniew Galias

AGH University of Science and Technology Department of Electrical Engineering al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

25th IEEE International Conference on Electronics Circuits and Systems ICECS, 9th-12th December 2018 Bordeaux, France

rdeaux, France 9th-12th December 2018

Abstract

- In the presentation, the problem of memristors modeling is investigated.
- The elements under study are SDC (self-directed-channel) memristors with a tungsten dopant fabricated by the Knowm Inc.
- Three memristor models are considered: the asymmetric Strukov model, the Strukov model with the Biolek window, and the VTEAM model.
- Parameters of the models are fitted to experimental data using the interior-point optimization algorithm.
- The possibility of modeling memristor's behavior in a wide frequency range using the models considered is going to be presented.



Memristor is a two-port passive element postulated by Leon Chua in 1971. The discovery of the physical solid-state structures having memristive characteristics by the HP scientists in 2008 has attracted enormous interest in the scientific community.





Memristor sinusoidal response

a) - The typical zero-crossing pinched hysteresis loop as the memristor response for the sinusoidal excitation ($U_{\rm max}=1{
m V}$).

b) - The voltage and the current in the memristor and the w(t) function vs time t for f = 0.5Hz.



 $\mathcal{R}_{\text{ON}} = 100 \ \Omega$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{OFF}} / \mathcal{R}_{\text{ON}} = 160$, $\mu_v = 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \text{V}^{-1}$, D = 10 nm, $U_{max} = 1 \text{ V}$.



Memristor Measurements

- The memristor under study is a self-directed-channel memristor with a tungsten (W) dopant in a 16-pin ceramic DIP package fabricated by the Knowm Inc.
- The element was connected in series with the resistor $R_s=5 {\rm k} \Omega.$
- The series connection is exited by a sinusoidal voltage $v(t) = V_{\max} \sin(2\pi f t)$ wave with a given amplitude V_{\max} and frequency f.



Scope print of i-v curves as an example of measured data. $(V_{\rm max}=1~{\rm V}~{\rm and}~f=5~{\rm Hz})$



9th-12th December 2018

Reference Measurement Data

The *Savitzky-Golay* filter is used to filter out high frequencies from the data. From the filtered data 500 points filling uniformly a single period of the input signal are selected as a reference data for further processing.



The time response for the input voltage $v(t)=V_{\max}\sin(2\pi ft)$ (average over 6 periods), $V_{\max}=1~{\rm V},~f=0.2~{\rm Hz}.$



The measurements are carried out for frequencies: f = 0.2 Hz, f = 0.5 Hz, f = 1 Hz, f = 5 Hz, f = 20 Hz, and f = 100 Hz.

Asymmetric Strukov model

The model is based on the assumption that oxygen ions drift with the velocity that depends linearly on the electric field (voltage) in the memristor structure. As real memristors do not exhibit the symmetry in the sense of the polarity of the applied voltage, some modification has been proposed.

$$v(t) = \left(\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON} \, x(t) + \mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF} \left(1 - x(t)\right)\right) i(t),\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{cases} k_{\mathrm{ON}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{ON}} i(t) f(x), & \text{for } u \ge 0, \\ k_{\mathrm{OFF}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{ON}} i(t) f(x), & \text{for } u < 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where f(x) denotes the window function to confine the internal variable x to the interval [0, 1]. In the original linear ion drift model the rectangular window function with f(x) = 1 for $x \in [0, 1]$ and f(x) = 0 for $x \neq [0, 1]$ is used. In the above equation, we use two different parameters $k_{\rm ON}$ and $k_{\rm OFF}$ to define the rate of changing the internal variable depending of the sign of u. The model presented in this section depends of four parameters: $k_{\rm ON}$, $k_{\rm OFF}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF}$.



Biolek Window Function

The linear model does not describe all the physical phenomena in the memristor structure. One of the modifications is to use a different window function f(x,i) to slow down the dynamics when x is close to the border of the interval [0,1]. In the presentation we use the very popular window proposed by prof. Biolek.

$$f(x,i) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x - \operatorname{step}(-i)|^p, \text{ for } x \in [0,1], \\ 0, & \text{ for } x \notin [0,1], \end{cases}$$
(3)

where p is an integer. The main role of the window function (3) is to force the variable x to stay in the interval [0,1] and to slow down the dynamics when x is close to the border of this interval. Using this window function introduces an additional integer parameter p. The asymmetric Strukov model with the asymmetric Biolek window depends on six parameters: k_{ON} , k_{OFF} , \mathcal{R}_{ON} , \mathcal{R}_{OFF} , p_{ON} and p_{OFF} .



VTEAM model

In the VTEAM model, the internal state variable w is confined to the interval $[w_{ON}, w_{OFF}]$. The VTEAM model is defined by:

$$w(t) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON} \left(w - w_{\rm OFF} \right) + \mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF} \left(w_{\rm ON} - w \right)}{w_{\rm ON} - w_{\rm OFF}} i(t) \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{cases} k_{\mathrm{ON}} \left(\frac{u(t)}{v_{\mathrm{ON}}} - 1\right)^{\alpha_{\mathrm{ON}}} & 0 < v_{\mathrm{ON}} < v\\ 0, & v_{\mathrm{OFF}} < v < v_{\mathrm{ON}}\\ k_{\mathrm{OFF}} \left(\frac{v(t)}{v_{\mathrm{OFF}}} - 1\right)^{\alpha_{\mathrm{OFF}}} & u < v_{\mathrm{OFF}} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(5)

For $v \in [v_{OFF}, v_{ON}]$ the state variable remains constant (voltage threshold idea). The VTEAM model depends on ten parameters. Eight of them are real valued (\mathcal{R}_{ON} , \mathcal{R}_{OFF} , v_{ON} , v_{OFF} , k_{ON} , k_{OFF} , w_{ON} , and w_{OFF}) while two of them are integer valued (α_{ON} and α_{OFF}).



VTEAM model (continued)

$$w(t) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON} \left(w - w_{\rm OFF} \right) + \mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF} \left(w_{\rm ON} - w \right)}{w_{\rm ON} - w_{\rm OFF}} i(t)$$
(6)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{cases} k_{\mathrm{ON}} \left(\frac{u(t)}{v_{\mathrm{ON}}} - 1\right)^{\alpha_{\mathrm{ON}}} & 0 < v_{\mathrm{ON}} < v\\ 0, & v_{\mathrm{OFF}} < v < v_{\mathrm{ON}}\\ k_{\mathrm{OFF}} \left(\frac{v(t)}{v_{\mathrm{OFF}}} - 1\right)^{\alpha_{\mathrm{OFF}}} & u < v_{\mathrm{OFF}} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

Note that by shifting the internal variable $w' = w - w_{ON}$, $w'_{OFF} = w_{OFF} - w_{ON}$ one can force the parameter w_{ON} to be zero. For the case of the ideal rectangular window by rescaling w one can achieve any value of w_{OFF} . It follows that one can fix the values of parameters w_{ON} and w_{OFF} without losing the generality of the model. In the optimization process we set $w_{ON} = 0$ and $w_{OFF} = 10^{-3}$.



Optimization procedure

Let \mathbf{x} be the vector of real-valued parameters of a given model and \mathbf{y} be the vectors of integer-valued parameters. The cost function is defined as:

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (i_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - i_{\text{ref}, j})^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{\text{ref}, j}^2},$$
(8)

where n is the number of samples in the reference data, $i_{ref,j}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ are the values of the current from the reference data, and $i_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ are the values of the current computed for a given model with parameter values \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} . During the computations, the reference voltage $v_{ref,j}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is applied as the input signal.

The optimization goal is to find parameter values \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} minimizing the cost function $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Real-valued and integer-valued parameters are handled in a different way. For fixed values of integer-valued parameters \mathbf{y} finding the optimum values of the real-valued parameters \mathbf{x} is carried out using the *interior-point* algorithm. This process is repeated for all admissible combinations of integer-valued parameters.



Results (example VTEAM)



Time responses of the optimized VTEAM model to sinusoidal excitation with the frequency f = 5 Hz.

Time waveforms are presented for the best obtained parameter values of the optimization problem: $\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON} = 1.22 \ \mathrm{k\Omega}, \ \mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF} = 16.529 \ \mathrm{k\Omega}, \ k_{\rm ON} = -2.34 \ \mathrm{m/s}, \ k_{\rm OFF} = 204.02 \ \mathrm{nm/s}, \ v_{\rm ON} = -200.5 \ \mathrm{mV}, \ v_{\rm OFF} = 15.4 \ \mathrm{mV}, \ \alpha_{\rm ON} = 5, \ \alpha_{\rm OFF} = 6 \ \mathrm{Value}$ of the cost function is $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 3.137 \cdot 10^{-3}$. Internal variable is periodic, i.e. x(0) = x(T), where $T = 0.2 \ \mathrm{s}$ is the period of the input signal.



Comparision beetween VTEAM model and the reference data



Comparison of current response of and the v-i plots of the optimized VTEAM model with the reference data; f = 5 Hz.



Similar computations have been carried out for other considered memristor models. For example, for the asymmetric Strukov model with the Biolek window the best value of the cost function is approximately six times worse.

| | frequency [Hz] | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 | |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ | 1.1822 | 1.6014 | 1.8163 | 2.0517 | 3.5509 | 5.0631 | |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{OFF}}$ | 15.009 | 17.396 | 12.000 | 3850.9 | 1739.7 | 320.34 | |
| $k_{\rm ON}$ | 99.964 | 99.998 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.999 | 99.998 | |
| $k_{\rm OFF}$ | 60.671 | 99.989 | 100.00 | 0.46583 | 1.3469 | 7.1125 | |
| $p_{\rm ON}$ | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | |
| $p_{\rm OFF}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 7 | |
| $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ | 0.00408 | 0.01660 | 0.01872 | 0.02015 | 0.09044 | 0.22610 | |
| | frequency [Hz] | | | | | | |
| | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 | |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{ON}}$ | 0.49884 | 1.1804 | 1.3620 | 1.2221 | 2.0852 | 1.1985 | |
| $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{OFF}}$ | 16.850 | 22.762 | 15.082 | 14.200 | 16.529 | 25.331 | |
| $k_{\rm ON}$ | -2.3568 | -0.097703 | -43.049 | -2.3408 | -9.5335 | -17.134 | |
| $k_{\rm OFF}$ | 401.35 | 151.69 | 1702.0 | 204.02 | 16630.0 | 6435.0 | |
| $v_{\rm ON}$ | -149.19 | -136.93 | -183.73 | -200.48 | -223.86 | -251.51 | |
| $v_{\rm OFF}$ | 16.387 | 7.0533 | 6.8630 | 15.390 | 12.659 | 0.31765 | |
| $\alpha_{\rm ON}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 10 | |
| $\alpha_{ m OFF}$ | 7 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | |
| " ", y) | 0.00078 | 0.00125 | 0.00177 | 0.00313 | 0.00210 | 0.00174 | |

Optimal parameters values of the asymmetric Strukov model with Biolek window for different frequencies ($\mathcal{R}_{\rm ON}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\rm OFF}$ are in $k\Omega$)

Optimal parameters values of the asymmetric model for different frequencies with VTEAM model (\mathcal{R}_{ON} and \mathcal{R}_{OFF} are in $k\Omega$, k_{ON} in m/s, k_{OFF} in nm/s, v_{ON} and v_{OFF} are in mV)



| f[Hz] | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0.2 | 0.00408 | 0.00848 | 0.01921 | 0.05291 | 0.12080 | 0.20077 |
| 0.5 | 0.03004 | 0.01660 | 0.03104 | 0.02423 | 0.08648 | 0.16766 |
| 1 | 0.06102 | 0.03968 | 0.01872 | 0.02583 | 0.09116 | 0.17184 |
| 5 | 0.15636 | 0.25106 | 0.18276 | 0.02015 | 0.06105 | 0.14387 |
| 20 | 0.20349 | 0.23243 | 0.19173 | 0.14922 | 0.09044 | 0.14741 |
| 100 | 0.33462 | 0.24644 | 0.25097 | 0.41828 | 0.25549 | 0.22610 |

Results comparison for different frequencies in case of the asymmetric Strukov model.

| f[Hz] | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 100 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0.2 | 0.00078 | 0.00730 | 0.00435 | 0.00629 | 0.02790 | 0.05052 |
| 0.5 | 0.01008 | 0.00125 | 0.01242 | 0.01830 | 0.02637 | 0.04050 |
| 1 | 0.00529 | 0.01575 | 0.00177 | 0.00332 | 0.02223 | 0.05113 |
| 5 | 0.01236 | 0.02288 | 0.00841 | 0.00313 | 0.01928 | 0.05205 |
| 20 | 0.04315 | 0.03395 | 0.08297 | 0.02129 | 0.00210 | 0.02047 |
| 100 | 0.11434 | 0.07271 | 0.43133 | 0.07007 | 0.04546 | 0.00174 |

Results comparison for different frequencies in case of the VTEAM model.

9th-12th December 2018



- Several existing memristor models have been fitted to model behaviors of a self-directed-channel memristor with tungsten dopant.
- **O** It has been shown that the VTEAM model outperforms the other models considered.
- It has been shown that neither of the models considered is suitable to model behavior of self-directed-channel memristors in a wide frequency range.
- Future work will include testing other models of memristors.
- We also plan to apply multi-objective optimization approach to improve the performance of various models in a wider frequency range.



25th IEEE International Conference on Electronics Circuits and Systems ICECS, 9th-12th December 2018 Bordeaux, France

Thank You For Your Attention



Bordeaux, France 9th-12th December 2018